	Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM	Document 129	Filed 10/20/10	Page 1 of 3	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DENNIS K. BURKE United States Attorney District of Arizona FRANK T. GALATI Assistant U.S. Attorney Arizona State Bar No. 003404 frank.galati@usdoj.gov JAMES R. KNAPP Assistant U.S. Attorney Arizona State Bar No. 021166 james.knapp2@usdoj.gov Two Renaissance Square 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408 Telephone: (602) 514-7500				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
10 11 12 13 14	United States of America, Plaintiff v. Janice Sue Taylor, Defenda		No. CR-10 GOVERNME MOTION 7	0-0400-PHX-MHM NT'S RESPONSE TO FO DISMISS FIRM R TO SETTLE	
15					

The United States, through undersigned counsel, responds to the Motion to Dismiss Firm
Offer to Settle by Janice Sue Taylor (doc. 112). As explained below, Ms. Taylor articulates no
legal basis for dismissing the indictment for lack of standing or on the basis of her good-faith
defense.

I. Argument.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In this and other motions, Ms. Taylor has repeatedly argued that the government lacks capacity to indict or sue. She also argues that a defense of good-faith merits dismissal of the indictment. Her arguments rely on misinterpretation and misapplication of legal principles governing a party's standing and judicial procedure. Accordingly, this motion should be denied.

<u>A.</u> <u>Standing</u>

Ms. Taylor argues that the government has neither standing nor capacity to indict her. She apparently claims the defect in the prosecution is lack of standing resulting from the United States failing to initiate this action against her under the Sherman Act.

Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 129 Filed 10/20/10 Page 2 of 3

The government's standing is not dependent the Sherman Act, which has no applicability
 to this prosecution. The indictment alleges violations of 26 U.S.C. §§ 7201 and 7203, which are
 tax offenses against the United States. The U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Arizona has
 the duty, under 28 U.S.C. § 547(1), to prosecute offenses against the United States. Accordingly,
 the government has standing to indict and prosecute Ms. Taylor for alleged tax violations.

6

B. Good Faith Defense

Ms. Taylor argues that the Court should dismiss the indictment because she filed her
returns to the best of her ability. To the extent that defendant seeks to present a *Cheek* defense,
it is a matter for jury resolution.

10 Willful failure to file a tax return and evasion of tax assessment both require willful 11 conduct. 26 U.S.C. §§ 7201, 7203. In the context of tax law, a defendant's conduct is not willful 12 if it resulted from "ignorance of the law or a claim that because of a misunderstanding of the 13 law, he had a good-faith belief that he was not violating any of the provisions of the tax laws." Cheek v. United States, 498 U.S. 192, 202 (1991). "[A] jury considering a good faith belief claim 14 15 would be free to consider any admissible evidence from any source showing that [the taxpayer] 16 was aware of his [duties under the tax laws], including evidence showing his awareness of the 17 relevant provisions of the Code or regulations, of court decisions rejecting his interpretations of 18 the tax law, of authoritative rulings of the Internal Revenue Service, or of any contents of the 19 personal income tax return forms and accompanying instructions." Id.

Ms. Taylor may seek to introduce relevant and admissible evidence at trial that supports
her good-faith claim. A jury will appropriately determine questions of fact relevant to her
defense. Accordingly, her request that this Court grant a preliminary motion to dismiss on the
basis of a good-faith defense is without merit.

- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

	Case 2:10-cr-00400-MHM Document 129 Filed 10/20/10 Page 3 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 II. Conclusion. For these reasons, the Motion to Dismiss should be denied. Respectfully submitted this 20th day of October, 2010. DENNIS K. BURKE United States Attorney District of Arizona <i>s/ Frank T. Galati</i> FRANK T. GALATI JAMES R. KNAPP Assistant U.S. Attorneys
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	Certificate of Service I hereby certify that on 10/20/2010, I mailed copies of the attached document to the following: Janice Sue Taylor 3341 Arianna Court Gilbert, Arizona 85298 <i>s/ Michelle L. Colberg</i>
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	
26 27 28	3